

Deconstructing unparticles in higher dimensions

Jong-Phil Lee*

Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Korea

Abstract

Unparticles are realized by deconstruction in higher extra dimensions. It is shown that in this framework when the scale invariance is broken, the corresponding spectral function of the unparticle is shifted by an amount of the breaking scale. The result strongly supports the conventional ansatz for the spectral function of the unparticle in the literature.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Tk, 14.80.-j

arXiv:0901.1020v2 [hep-ph] 13 Feb 2009

*Electronic address: jplee@kias.re.kr

Recently Georgi has proposed a possibility that there is a scale invariant hidden sector which couples to the standard model (SM) sector very weakly [1]. In a low energy viewpoint, the effect of the hidden sector can be easily described by an effective theory. Formally there is an operator $\mathcal{O}_U$ of the hidden sector coupled to the SM operator $\mathcal{O}_{SM}$. Due to the scale invariance of the hidden sector, the scaling dimension of $\mathcal{O}_U$ is nontrivial. This unusual behavior is reflected on the phase space of $\mathcal{O}_U$; it looks like a phase space for a fractional number of particles, hence dubbed as "unparticles". After Georgi, there has been a lot of works on unparticles both in theory and experiment [2].

The Banks-Zaks ($\mathcal{BZ}$) theory [3] provides a good starting point of studying unparticle physics. They examined the infrared(IR)-stable fixed point of Yang-Mills theories with massless fermions, and found that for a proper number of fermions in a certain representation the theory is chirally invariant and has no mass gap. At a high scale of M_U the $\mathcal{BZ}$ sector interacts with the SM fields. Below M_U the interaction can be described as

$$\frac{1}{M_U^{d_{SM}+d_{\mathcal{BZ}}-4}} \mathcal{O}_{SM} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{BZ}} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{BZ}}$ is the $\mathcal{BZ}$ operator with scaling dimension $d_{\mathcal{BZ}}$ while d_{SM} is the scaling dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{SM}$. When the scale goes down by the renormalization flow a scale Λ_U appears through the dimensional transmutation where the scale invariance emerges. Below Λ_U the theory is matched with the new operator $\mathcal{O}_U$ onto the above interaction as

$$C_U \frac{\Lambda_U^{d_{\mathcal{BZ}}-d_U}}{M_U^{d_{SM}+d_{\mathcal{BZ}}-4}} \mathcal{O}_{SM} \mathcal{O}_U \quad (2)$$

where d_U is the scaling dimension of $\mathcal{O}_U$ and C_U is the matching coefficient.

The spectral function of the unparticle is given by the two-point function of $\mathcal{O}_U$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_U(P^2) &= \int d^4x e^{iP \cdot x} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_U(x) \mathcal{O}_U^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= A_{d_U} \theta(P^0) \theta(P^2) (P^2)^{d_U-2} , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$A_{d_U} = \frac{16\pi^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{(2\pi)^{2d_U}} \frac{\Gamma(d_U + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(d_U - 1) \Gamma(2d_U)} , \quad (4)$$

is the normalization factor. The corresponding phase space is

$$d\Phi_U(P) = \rho_U(P^2) \frac{d^4P}{(2\pi)^4} = A_{d_U} \theta(P^0) \theta(P^2) (P^2)^{d_U-2} \frac{d^4P}{(2\pi)^4} , \quad (5)$$

which in $d_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow 1$ limit reduces to that of a single massless particle.

But there are still many things to be unveiled about the unparticle. Among them is the scale invariance breaking. When unparticles couple to the SM sector, Higgs coupling is very important because it is relevant. We confine ourselves to the scalar unparticles for simplicity throughout the paper. If the Higgs develops vacuum expectation values (VEV) then a scale is introduced in the theory, breaking the scale invariance. Up to now there is no formal way of describing the unparticles with broken scale-invariance. A usual method is to adapt a simple ansatz for the spectral function of unparticles. It says that the spectral function of unparticles $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(P^2)$ is shifted by the scale-invariance breaking scale μ as [4]

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(P^2) \rightarrow \rho_{\mathcal{U}}(P^2 - \mu^2) . \quad (6)$$

The ansatz shows good "correspondences"; in the limit of $\mu \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ reduces to the usual unparticle spectral function, and in the $d_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow 1$ limit, the corresponding propagator is a free particle propagator of mass μ .

In this paper, it will be shown that (6) can be justified in the framework of deconstruction. Deconstruction [5] is a useful method to deal with the unparticles. In this scheme the unparticle is described by an infinite tower of particles with vanishing masses. A continuous spectrum of unparticles is simulated by a discrete sum over deconstructing states, which comes to an integral in the vanishing mass limit. One way of explicit realization of deconstruction is to use AdS/CFT correspondence to build a 5-dimensional field theory [6]. In this work we try flat $4 + \delta$ dimensional theory for deconstruction. The higher dimensional Kaluza-Klein (KK) states are identified as the deconstructing fields. The main point is that the mass m_0 of the higher dimensional field really shifts the spectral function of the deconstructing fields. In the absence of m_0 , the mass spectrum of n -th KK states is $\sim n/R$ where R is the size of extra dimension. When $R \rightarrow \infty$ the infinite tower of KK states do the work of deconstruction. If m_0 appears for some reason (probably via Higgs interaction) in the theory, then the KK spectrum becomes $\sim \sqrt{m_0^2 + n^2/R^2}$, which can be interpreted as the shift of the spectral function by m_0^2 .

Another good point of this picture is that the scale m_0 regulates the infrared (IR) divergence of the VEV of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$. It was already shown that when Higgs couples to unparticles, there needs an IR cutoff for the VEV of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ [7]. In [7] a scale invariant quartic coupling of Higgs and deconstructing fields is introduced for regulation. When Higgs gets VEV, the

scale invariance is broken and the deconstructing field has "mass gap". We identify this mass gap as nothing but m_0 of higher dimensional theory.

The unparticle will be realized in this work by deconstruction. For this purpose it is assumed that there is a hidden sector of scale invariance with extra dimensions. A scalar field in this sector has its KK excitations. If the size of extra dimension is large enough then the masses of the KK states is vanishing. In this limit the infinite tower of this massless KK states is identified as the deconstructed form of scalar unparticles.

Consider the higher dimensional description of a scalar field. We work in the flat $D = 4 + \delta$ dimensions where x^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) is the 4-dimensional coordinate while y^a ($a = 1, \dots, \delta$) is the extra dimensional one. It is assumed that the extra higher dimension is compactified on an $[(S^1 \times S^1)/Z_2]^k$ orbifold for $\delta = 2k$ and $[(S^1 \times S^1)/Z_2]^k \times (S^1/Z_2)$ orbifold for $\delta = 2k + 1$ [8].

For a higher dimensional scalar field $\phi(x^\mu, y^a)$, 4-dimensional effective Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_0(x^\mu) = \int d^\delta y \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_M \phi \partial^M \phi \right) = \int d^\delta y \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi \right), \quad (7)$$

where $M = 0, 1, \dots, \delta$. The Fourier expansion for the extra dimensional component of ϕ yields

$$\phi(x^\mu, y^a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi R)^{\delta/2}} \left\{ \phi_0(x^\mu) + \sqrt{2} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\delta} \phi_{j_1, \dots, j_\delta}(x^\mu) \cos \left(\frac{j_1 y_1 + \dots + j_\delta y_\delta}{R} \right) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Here R is the size of the extra dimension, and we impose the symmetry of $\phi(x^\mu, y^a) = \phi(x^\mu, -y^a)$. If we put the Fourier decomposition of $\phi(x^\mu, y^a)$ into Eq. (7), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_0(x^\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \partial_\mu \phi_0 \partial^\mu \phi_0 + \sum_{[j]} \partial_\mu \phi_{[j]} \partial^\mu \phi_{[j]} - \sum_{[j]} \left(\frac{j_1^2 + \dots + j_\delta^2}{R^2} \right) \phi_{[j]}^2 \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where $[j]$ denotes the collective j_i s, $\{j_1, \dots, j_\delta\}$. For a given $j_1^2 + \dots + j_\delta^2 \equiv k_\delta^2$, there is a degeneracy D_n where k_δ/R is the n -th Kaluza-Klein (KK) mass spectrum. Let the corresponding n -th level field be ϕ_n . Then there are D_n number of ϕ_n s at n -th level with mass k_δ/R . In the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ the mass gap between the KK levels goes to zero. Fields of infinite tower with vanishing mass gap can be assembled to form an unparticle operator in a deconstructed form [5].

A scalar unparticle operator can be defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_u \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n \phi_n. \quad (10)$$

The spectral function of $\mathcal{O}_U$ is then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_U^0(P^2) &= \int d^4x e^{iP \cdot x} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_U(x) \mathcal{O}_U^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= 2\pi \sum_n \delta(P^2 - m_n^2) F_n^2 ,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

where the superscript means that the function is defined by $\mathcal{L}_0$. The two point function is related to ρ_U as

$$\begin{aligned}D_U^0(P) &\equiv \int d^4x e^{iP \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \mathcal{O}_U(x) \mathcal{O}_U^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int \frac{dM^2}{2\pi} \frac{i\rho_U^0(M^2)}{P^2 - M^2 + i\epsilon} \\ &= \sum_n \frac{iF_n^2}{P^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon} ,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

where

$$m_n^2 = \frac{k_\delta^2}{R^2} .\tag{13}$$

In the limit of $R \rightarrow \infty$ the spectral function should be matched onto that of the unparticle

$$\rho_U^0(P^2) \rightarrow A_{d_U} \theta(P^0) \theta(P^2) (P^2)^{d_U-2} .\tag{14}$$

Now suppose that the ϕ field gets mass term in (7). Obviously this term breaks the scale invariance. The effective Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(x^\mu) &= \int d^\delta y \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_M \phi \partial^M \phi - \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 \phi^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \partial_\mu \phi_0 \partial^\mu \phi_0 - m_0^2 \phi_0^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{[j]} \partial_\mu \phi_{[j]} \partial^\mu \phi_{[j]} - \sum_{[j]} \left(m_0^2 + \frac{j_1^2 + \dots + j_\delta^2}{R^2} \right) \phi_{[j]}^2 \right\} .\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

Here a new scale m_0 is the mass of zeroth mode of ϕ , and modifies the mass spectrum of ϕ_n ($n > 0$). Consequently the spectral function and the two point function changes as

$$\rho_U(P^2) = 2\pi \sum_n \delta(P^2 - m_0^2 - m_n^2) F_n^2 ,\tag{16}$$

and

$$D_U(P) = \sum_n \frac{iF_n^2}{P^2 - m_0^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon} .\tag{17}$$

Note that Eqs. (16) and (17) are consistent in that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mathcal{U}}(P) &= \sum_n \frac{iF_n^2}{P^2 - m_0^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon} \\
&= \sum_n \int \frac{dM^2}{2\pi} \frac{i2\pi\delta(M^2 - m_0^2 - m_n^2)F_n^2}{P^2 - M^2 + i\epsilon} \\
&= \int \frac{dM^2}{2\pi} \frac{i\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(M^2)}{P^2 - M^2 + i\epsilon} .
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We have a simple relation between $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}^0$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$:

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(P^2) = \rho_{\mathcal{U}}^0(P^2 - m_0^2) . \tag{19}$$

This relation justifies the usual ansatz for the modified spectral function with the scale-invariance breaking in the literature. It should be also noted that the term $\sim m_0^2\phi_n^2$ serves as the infrared cutoff (or a mass gap). Were it not for the cutoff, the vacuum expectation value of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is infrared divergent [7]. The mass gap term was at first put by hand to regulate the IR divergence. In the language of higher dimensional deconstruction, it is nothing but the mass of the zeroth mode. However, the origin of the mass generation is still beyond this framework.

In the $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$ is matched onto the continuum spectrum much like $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}^0$ as in (14):

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U}}(P^2) \rightarrow A_{d_{\mathcal{U}}} \theta(P^0) \theta(P^2 - m_0^2) (P^2 - m_0^2)^{d_{\mathcal{U}}-2} . \tag{20}$$

From Eqs. (16) and (20), one has

$$2\pi \frac{1}{|(m_n^2)'|} F_n^2 = A_{d_{\mathcal{U}}} (m_n^2)^{d_{\mathcal{U}}-2} , \tag{21}$$

where the prime of m_n^2 means the derivative with respect to n . Assuming that $(m_n^2)' > 0$, one arrives at

$$2\pi F_n^2 dn = A_{d_{\mathcal{U}}} (m_n^2)^{d_{\mathcal{U}}-2} d(m_n^2) , \quad \text{or} \tag{22}$$

$$m_n^2 = \left[\frac{2\pi}{A_{d_{\mathcal{U}}}} (d_{\mathcal{U}} - 1) \int F_n^2 dn \right]^{\frac{1}{d_{\mathcal{U}}-1}} . \tag{23}$$

Note that this relation is quite general. As an example if $F_n \sim n^\alpha$, then

$$m_n^2 \sim n^{(\alpha+1)/(d_{\mathcal{U}}-1)} , \tag{24}$$

or conversely, if $m_n^2 \sim n^\beta$, then

$$F_n^2 \sim n^{\beta(d_{\mathcal{U}}-1)-1} . \tag{25}$$

As a simple application, consider the unparticle production process $t \rightarrow u + \mathcal{U}$. This was originally considered by [1] and reviewed through the deconstruction in [5], and soon after re-examined with the IR cutoff in [4]. Here it will be shown that the same result of [4] is reproduced by using the massive ϕ in higher dimensions.

Consider first the process of $t \rightarrow u + \phi_n$ where ϕ_n is treated as a particle state with mass $\sqrt{m_0^2 + m_n^2}$. The process is governed by the interaction

$$i \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_{\mathcal{U}}^{d_{\mathcal{U}}}} \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) t \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} + \text{H.c.} , \quad (26)$$

whose deconstructed version is

$$i \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_{\mathcal{U}}^{d_{\mathcal{U}}}} \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) t \sum_n F_n \partial^{\mu} \phi_n + \text{H.c.} , \quad (27)$$

where λ is a dimensionless coupling. The decay rate $\Gamma_n(t \rightarrow u + \phi_n)$ is simply given by a usual two-body decay process as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(t \rightarrow u + \phi_n) &= \frac{1}{2m_t} \int \frac{d^3 p_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_u} \int \frac{d^3 p_n}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{pol.}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_t - p_u - p_n) \\ &= \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\Lambda_{\mathcal{U}}^{2d_{\mathcal{U}}}} \frac{F_n^2}{2\pi} m_t E_u^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the invariant matrix element of the process and p_i ($i = t, u, n$) are the momenta of t , u , and ϕ_n with energies E_i . The u quark energy is definitely given by

$$E_u = \frac{m_t^2 - m_n^2 - m_0^2}{2m_t} , \quad (29)$$

where m_t is the t quark mass. For an energy interval dm_n^2 there are $dm_n^2/(m_n^2)'$ number of states. In terms of dE_u , one has

$$d\Gamma(t \rightarrow u + \mathcal{U}) = \left[\frac{2m_t}{(m_n^2)'} dE_u \right] \Gamma_n(t \rightarrow u + \phi_n) . \quad (30)$$

From the matching condition of (20),

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dE_u} = \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\Lambda_{\mathcal{U}}^{2d_{\mathcal{U}}}} \frac{A_{d_{\mathcal{U}}}}{2\pi^2} m_t^2 E_u^2 (m_t^2 - 2m_t E_u - m_0^2)^{d_{\mathcal{U}}-2} , \quad (31)$$

which is the same result of [4], since the total decay rate from (31) is

$$\Gamma = \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\Lambda_{\mathcal{U}}^{2d_{\mathcal{U}}}} \frac{A_{d_{\mathcal{U}}}}{2\pi^2} \frac{m_t^5 (m_t^2)^{d_{\mathcal{U}}-2}}{4d_{\mathcal{U}}(d_{\mathcal{U}}^2 - 1)} \left(1 - \frac{m_0^2}{m_t^2} \right)^{d_{\mathcal{U}}+1} . \quad (32)$$

Note that (31) is also same in form as that of [5] where $m_0 = 0$.

In summary, we provided a higher dimensional field theory to realize the unparticle through deconstruction. In this framework the higher dimensional mass term m_0 breaks the scale invariance of the unparticle sector and plays the role of IR cutoff which regulates the IR divergence of the VEV for $\mathcal{O}_U$. The corresponding spectral function is shifted by an amount of m_0^2 , justifying the usual ansatz. When matching the deconstruction with the continuous spectrum, one gets a general relation between the decay constant of the deconstructing field and its mass. It was also shown that this framework successfully reproduces the decay rate distribution of unparticle production process.

The origin of m_0 is not specified in this work. It might probably come from the Higgs couplings. In this case the scalar sector of the theory can contribute to the electroweak symmetry breaking and change the Higgs mass through mixing [7]. Whether Higgs is an unparticle (Unhiggs) [9] or not is an open question. It will be a good challenge to try deconstruction for the Unhiggs in a higher dimensional context [10].

-
- [1] H. Georgi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 221601 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0703260]; Phys. Lett. B **650**, 275 (2007) [arXiv:0704.2457 [hep-ph]].
 - [2] K. Cheung, W. Y. Keung and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 051803 (2007) [arXiv:0704.2588 [hep-ph]], Phys. Rev. D **76**, 055003 (2007) [arXiv:0706.3155 [hep-ph]]; M. Luo and G. Zhu, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 341 (2008) [arXiv:0704.3532 [hep-ph]]; Y. Liao, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 056006 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0837 [hep-ph]]; T. Kikuchi and N. Okada, arXiv:0707.0893 [hep-ph]; A. Lenz, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 065006 (2007) [arXiv:0707.1535 [hep-ph]]; J. R. Mureika, Phys. Lett. B **660**, 561 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1786 [hep-ph]]; B. Grinstein, K. Intriligator and I. Z. Rothstein, arXiv:0801.1140 [hep-ph]; N. V. Krasnikov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **22**, 5117 (2007) [arXiv:0707.1419 [hep-ph]], Mod. Phys. Lett. A **23**, 3233 (2008) [arXiv:0802.0830 [hep-ph]]; F. Sannino and R. Zwicky, arXiv:0810.2686 [hep-ph]; D. C. Dai and D. Stojkovic, arXiv:0812.3396 [gr-qc]; J.-P. Lee, arXiv:0710.2797 [hep-ph], arXiv:0803.0833 [hep-ph], arXiv:0809.3311 [hep-ph].
 - [3] T. Banks and A. Zaks, Nucl. Phys. B **196**, 189 (1982).
 - [4] P. J. Fox, A. Rajaraman and Y. Shirman, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 075004 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3092

[hep-ph]].

- [5] M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 035008 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3049 [hep-ph]].
- [6] G. Cacciapaglia, G. Marandella and J. Terning, arXiv:0804.0424 [hep-ph].
- [7] A. Delgado, J. R. Espinosa and M. Quiros, JHEP **0710**, 094 (2007) [arXiv:0707.4309 [hep-ph]].
- [8] T. Appelquist, H. C. Cheng and B. A. Dobrescu, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 035002 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0012100].
- [9] D. Stancato and J. Terning, arXiv:0807.3961 [hep-ph].
- [10] A. Falkowski and M. Perez-Victoria, arXiv:0810.4940 [hep-ph].